



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,615	12/24/2003	Richard Morabito	LC 0143 PUS	1614
36014	7590	08/09/2007		
ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C. 28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD, SUITE 250 SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034			EXAMINER ABRAHAM, TANIA	
			ART UNIT 3636	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 08/09/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/707,615

Applicant(s)

MORABITO ET AL.

Examiner

Tania Abraham

Art Unit

3636

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 April 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
3. Claims 1-11 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tiesler et al in view of Jakubiec et al and Fluharty et al. Tiesler et al discloses a center console for a front row of seats having a bin structure 14 – being a lower console member, a body ring 16 – being an upper console member, and a cover 20 – being a console lid. The lower console member 14 has mounted to its forward end, a flow-through assembly with an incorporated cupholder 50. The upper console member 16 includes outer walls 44 and inner walls 40 that form an engagement sleeve for lower console member walls 26, but excludes horizontal top and bottom walls, thereby providing a hole for direct access to the lower console member. The console lid rotates about the upper console with a hinge leaf 64 and includes a padded armrest. In its assembled configuration, the upper console member sits on the lower console

Art Unit: 3636

member with its walls extending to engage those of the lower console member. Tiesler et al discloses the body 12 which supports the console "is adapted to be mounted in a convenient place", but only suggests the vehicle floor as a location (col. 1, lines 63-65). Tiesler et al also discloses the upper console 16 sits on the lower console when assembled, but does show or suggest a pivotal connection between the two. Jakubiec et al teaches having a center console with attaching means 28 – being an element of the joining frame 26, that allow the console "to be mounted to the front seat inboard risers 56." (Col. 2, lines 54-57) The joining frame 26 is broadly interpreted to encompass the "pan frame assembly" of claim 6. Jakubiec et al also teaches having an upper console member 16 rotating about a lower console member 12 with hinge pin 94 to allow the upper console member 16 to move between a horizontal closed position and a vertical open position (col. 3, lines 6-13). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify the console of Tiesler et al with a joining frame according to Jakubiec et al's teaching in order to provide attachment of the console to the inboard risers of a front seat; and to modify Tiesler et al's console with a pivotal connection according to Jakubiec et al's teaching in order to allow the upper member to move between closed and open positions.

Tiesler et al discloses the inner walls 40 of their upper console 16 contact the walls 26 of the lower console, but does not show any features for locking the walls together.

Fluharty et al shows the walls of an upper console member 50 having retaining means detents 47, or locking tabs that engage the walls of a lower console member 24 to retain the upper member 50 in place. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at

Art Unit: 3636

the time of invention to modify Tiesler et al's console with retaining means according to Fluharty et al's teaching in order to retain the upper member in the lower member.

It is well known in the art to include conventional features like trays and dividers to vehicle consoles to provide additional storage cavities for the user, as demonstrated by Jakubiec et al (col. 1, lines 60-64) and references cited on form PTO-892 included with this action.

4. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tiesler et al and Jakubiec et al as applied to claims 1-11 above, and further in view of Klopp, III et al. Tiesler et al discloses everything previously described except for an air vent assembly attached to their console. Klopp, III et al (fig. 1-7) shows a vehicle console with a rear portion 150 mounted to the console having air conditioning vents under the pivoting means of an upper console member 108 for directing air to the rear of the vehicle compartment (col. 4, lines 45-49). So, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Tiesler et al's console with an air vent assembly as taught by Klopp, III et al. to provide air conditioning to the occupants in the back row of a vehicle.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed April 26, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding claims 1-11 and 13-16, Applicant argues that the Tiesler et al patent does not teach or suggest a console base having an upper and lower base section. However, since the claims define the upper base section as comprised of walls

Art Unit: 3636

and an open top and open bottom, element 16 of the Tiesler reference meets these limitations and is consequently an upper base section. The walls of element 16 also engage the walls of element 14 to form an extended depth storage bin because, as shown in fig. 4 of the Tiesler reference, element 16 is attached to element 14 at a certain distance above it and therefore extends the depth, providing an extended depth storage bin. As presented in the 103 rejection above, Tiesler et al's console base is modified with a rotational connection between it's upper and lower base sections as taught by the Jakubiec et al patent. As also presented in the 103 rejection above, Tiesler's console base is modified with the rotational connection of Jakubiec et al to provide an attachment between the upper and lower base sections to allow for convenient transition between horizontal closed and vertical open positions. As such, the rejection to claims 1-11 and 13-16 is upheld. Applicant also traversed the rejection of claim 12 based on the arguments for claims 1-11 and 13-16; in light of Examiner's response above to these arguments, the rejection of claim 12 is also upheld.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3636


shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tania Abraham whose telephone number is 571-272-2635. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Dunn can be reached on 571-272-6670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tania Abraham
August 3, 2007



DAVID DUNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER